UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Montoya	
	CASE NO. CV09-00641 JW
Plaintiff(s),	
V. Countrywide Bank, FSB, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPERTY] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16	any other form of ADR, must participate in an m. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proc	cess and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The de referring the case to an ADR pro-	eadline is 90 days from the date of the order cess unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 05/p/09	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: April 21, 2009	Andrew Noble Attorney for Defendant

(PROPOSED) ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 22, 2009

ITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE